1 BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS 2 3 4 IN THE MATTER OF:) PROPOSED NEW AND UPDATED RULES FOR) 5 MEASUREMENT AND NUMERICAL SOUND) No. R03-9 EMISSIONS STANDARD AMENDMENTS TO) 6 35 ILL. ADM. CODE 901 and 910)) 7 8 9 10 11 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS held in the 12 hearing of the above-entitled matter, taken 13 stenographically by Maria E. Shockey, CSR, before 14 MARIE E. TIPSORD, Hearing Officer, at the James R. Thompson Center, 100 West Randolph Street, 15 16 Room 8-033, Chicago, Illinois, on the 10th of February, A.D., 2004, at 10:06 a.m. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

```
1 APPEARANCES:
 2
 3
          ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD,
          100 West Randolph Street
 4
          Room 8-033
          Chicago, Illinois 60601
 5
          (312) 814-6983
         BY: MS. MARIE E. TIPSORD, HEARING OFFICER;
 б
         OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS ATTORNEY GENERAL,
 7
          188 West Randolph Street
          20th Floor
 8
         Chicago, Illinois 60601
          (312) 814-5393
 9
         BY: MR. HOWARD O. CHINN, P.E., CHIEF ENGINEER
               ENVIRONMENTAL BUREAU NORTH;
10
         OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS ATTORNEY GENERAL,
11
          188 West Randolph Street
12
          20th Floor
          Chicago, Illinois 60601
          (312) 814-5393
13
          BY: MR. JOEL J. STERNSTEIN, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY
14
               GENERAL, ENVIRONMENTAL BUREAU NORTH.
15
     PANEL OF BOARD MEMBERS:
16
     MR. G. TANNER GIRARD, LEAD BOARD MEMBER
     MR. ANAND RAO
17
     MS. LISA LIU
18
19
     ALSO PRESENT:
20
     MR. JOHN P. McGILLIVRAY, MANAGER OF
21
     SCOT FORGE SAFETY & ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS
22
23
24
```

1 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Good 2 morning. My name is Marie Tipsord, and I've 3 been appointed by the Board to serve as 4 hearing officer in this proceeding entitled: 5 In the Matter of Proposed New and Updated б Rules for Measurement and Numerical Sound 7 Emissions Standard Amendments to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 901 and 910. The Docket Number is 8 9 R03-9. 10 To my right is Dr. Tanner Girard, the lead board member assigned to this 11 matter, and to my immediate left is 12 Anand Rao, the head of our finance technical 13 unit, and to his left is Lisa Liu, also a 14 member of our scientific and technical unit. 15 This is the third hearing to be 16 held in this proceeding and is being held 17 because the Board received a request for a 18 19 third hearing from Boughton Trucking Materials, Inc., and the Illinois Association 20 21 of Aggregate Producers. 22 The purpose of today's hearing is to allow anyone who wishes to testify. And I 23 24 see one person here to testify,

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

Mr. McGillivray. We'll have you sworn in and 1 let you give your testimony. 2 MR. GIRARD: Maybe I should --3 4 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Oh, wait. 5 I'm sorry. 6 Dr. Girard? 7 MR. GIRARD: Thank you. On behalf of the Board, I'd like 8 9 to welcome everyone to the hearing today. 10 The Board is very serious about updating our noise rules. These rules have not been 11 updated since the 1980s and so we're very 12 pleased to be involved in this process, and 13 14 we're pleased that we're getting some participation from some of the affected 15 16 groups. 17 We hope maybe more people can be 18 roped into coming in and testifying and helping us make the very best noise rules we 19 can put together. So I am pleased that 20 21 John McGillivray from Scot Forge is here 22 today and, of course, I'm pleased to see Howard Chinn and Joel Sternstein from the 23 Attorney General's Office, they've been with 24

1 us since the beginning. And so we look forward to hearing 2 3 testimony and comments and hope that you 4 could get other members of the business 5 community involved so that we have good rules б crafted. Thank you. 7 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Go ahead and swear him in now. 8 9 THE REPORTER: Okay. 10 (Witness sworn.) HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Thank you. 11 Go ahead. 12 13 MR. McGILLIVRAY: I'd like to submit the following handout just for reference 14 15 purposes. HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: If there's 16 17 no objection, then we'll admit this as Exhibit 1. 18 (No response.) 19 Seeing none, this will be admitted as 20 Exhibit 1. 21 22 MR. McGILLIVRAY: I'd like to introduce myself. My name is John 23 24 McGillivray. I'm the manager of safety and

1 environmental affairs for the Scot Forge Company. I've been in this position for 2 3 approximately six years. 4 I'd like to give a brief overview 5 of our company and discuss why we are in б favor of updating the rules and make it 7 concurrent with our current operations. Scot Forge has been in operation 8 9 with the greater Chicagoland area for 10 111 years. It started out as brothers coming across on the boat from Scotland and being 11 blacksmiths in the town of Chicago and then 12 later moved to Cicero. 13 14 Currently, we are an 15 employee-owned company. We've been that way for 25 years. All of us have a stake as 16 employee owners in the continued operation of 17 our company. We have approximately \$145 18 millions in annual sales with expected annual 19 sales of \$154 million in 2004. 20 21 Our business is booming. We have 22 locations in Franklin Park, Illinois; Spring Grove, Illinois] And Clinton, Wisconsin. Our 23 24 primary products are open-die and metal

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

б

1 forges.

2	We operate both steam drop hammers
3	at the Franklin Park facility, and the
4	Clinton, Wisconsin and Spring Grove, Illinois
5	facilities operate hydraulic presses.
6	The reason that we're here
7	today or that I'm here today is to discuss
8	our consolidation from our Cicero, Illinois
9	plant to our Franklin Park location, which
10	occurred in 1993.
11	At that point, in late '92, our
12	sales had increased to the point where we had
13	outgrown our Cicero operation, and that is
14	the facility that is covered under Section
15	901.118 of the Scot Forge Company located in
16	Cicero, Illinois.
17	In 1993, we purchased the
18	Hellstrom Corporation, which was another drop
19	hamper shop that was located in Franklin
20	Park, Illinois, and began the process of
21	consolidating assets to that location. In
22	our Cicero operation, we operated three drop
23	hammers.
24	The Hellstrom Corporation had

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

1 eight drop hammers standing, although, they did not operate -- they only operated six, I 2 3 believe. So in 1993, again, we consolidated, 4 and in 1994, we closed our Cicero operation. 5 Most of the Hellstrom employees were retained 6 by Scot Forge in addition to transferring our 7 Cicero employees to the new location. After the consolidation, we had 8 9 been currently running on and off six hammers 10 at that facility, and when we submitted our request to update the current section of 11 Code 118 on August 28, 2003, we had requested 12 to operate no more than six forging hammers 13 at any one time. 14 15 At this board meeting, I would like to request that it be increased to seven 16 at any one time. Again, our business outlook 17 for 2004 to 2005 may dictate at any given 18 19 time we may have to operate seven. We can get by on six, but I think 20 21 that if our business continues to grow, it will become a definite necessity. And rather 22 than taking anymore time with the Board, I'd 23 24 like to build into the permit the option of

1	operating	that	seventh	permit.
-	operation	011010	001011011	Forme o.

2	So I guess in summary, Scott Forge
3	is requesting that the updated permit read
4	that we operate no more than seven hammers at
5	any one time for the period of 6:00 a.m. to
б	11:00 p.m., Monday through Saturday at our
7	current location of
8	9394 West Belmont Avenue in Franklin Park,
9	Illinois.
10	One thing about this location, it
11	is adjacent to the Wisconsin Central Railroad
12	line, which is one of the largest and busiest
13	railroads in the area. The noise levels in
14	that area already because of the train
15	traffic are exceptionally high.
16	When we're having a meeting in our
17	building and a train comes to the crossing on
18	Belmont Avenue, we have to stop our meeting
19	waiting for the whistles to stop. So noise
20	level-wise inside the building, you can get
21	up to 110 to 114 decibels in terms of impact
22	noise levels.
23	Once you get outside the structure
24	at the facility perimeter, it's typically

down to the 92 to 93 level and, again, we're 1 in an industrial site with the railroad right 2 3 next to us. To my knowledge, in the six 4 years that I've been in this position, I've 5 never received noise complaint from our б facility. 7 We are also bordered by Nelson Steel. Our two facilities are kind of 8 9 intermingled, you know, we own one building 10 and they own one building. It's kind of patchworked together, and I'm not sure 11 whether they have received any complaints of 12 noise. 13 14 We work very strongly with the community of Franklin Park, Illinois to 15 ensure that, you know, any issues are 16 resolved that may come up at any given time. 17 But as I said, in the six years that I've 18 been in this position, I've never had a 19 complaint come forward to my desk. 20 21 Are there any questions from any 22 of the Board members? 23 MR. CHINN: Where is the nearest 24 resident?

1 MR. McGILLIVRAY: The nearest resident would be approximately probably 125 yards to 2 3 the west of our facility. 4 MR. CHINN: 125 yards? 5 MR. McGILLIVRAY: Yeah, something in б that neck of the woods, maybe 100 yards. 7 One hundred yards is probably more accurate. 8 MR. CHINN: And that's to the west? 9 MR. McGILLIVRAY: To the west. 10 MR. CHINN: Has there been any noise survey or noise measurements around the 11 facility? 12 13 MR. McGILLIVRAY: We have brought the 14 on-site consultation program from the State of Illinois from the OSHA side in to do noise 15 studies within our facility, yes. 16 17 MR. CHINN: That's within. How about 18 any noise survey conducted external to the plant? 19 MR. McGILLIVRAY: Not to my knowledge, 20 21 sir. 22 MR. CHINN: The noise that is 23 transmitted through the air, are there any 24 vibrations to the ground?

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

1 MR. McGILLIVRAY: Yes, sir. MR. CHINN: Has that been monitored or 2 3 measured? 4 MR. McGILLIVRAY: Not to my knowledge, 5 sir. б MR. RAO: The current rules that you 7 want to be amended, are those rules adopted for your Cicero facility? Were they 8 9 site-specific rules? 10 MR. McGILLIVRAY: They were site-specific, and Section 118 was 11 12 site-specific to Scot Forge. 13 MR. RAO: And so you want that rule to 14 be replaced by a site-specific rule for your Franklin Park facility? 15 MR. McGILLIVRAY: Yes, sir. 16 17 MR. RAO: And you mentioned the noise 18 measurements taken inside your building and also outside and you gave some rough 19 estimates. Are they A-rated decibel levels? 20 21 MR. McGILLIVRAY: Yes, sir. But that 22 was done by myself as opposed to an outside independent person. And, again, inside the 23 building, we have taken noise levels with the 24

1 State of Illinois's on-site consultation 2 program. MR. CHINN: Can you describe the type 3 4 of instruments that you used for that? 5 MR. McGILLIVRAY: For -б MR. CHINN: The noise survey. 7 MR. McGILLIVRAY: Just a dosimeter, noise dosimeter. 8 9 MR. CHINN: Who's the manufacturer? 10 MR. McGILLIVRAY: I've got it sitting in my office. I don't know who manufactured 11 it. I can certainly provide that information 12 to the Board if requested. 13 14 MR. GIRARD: I have a question. Do many of your workers live in Franklin Park? 15 MR. McGILLIVRAY: I know several are 16 17 within five minutes. I don't think that they 18 are within the town limits of Franklin Park, certainly the surrounding communities, yes. 19 There's a lot of people from 20 21 Berwyn, the City of Chicago, Park Ridge, I 22 believe, Hoffman Estates, so there are 23 several within the general vicinity, but I don't know of any that directly live in the 24

1 Franklin Park area.

2	77
-	There are at this particular
3	location, we have approximately 60 employees
4	at this site and 480 that's listed in the
5	handout that's corporate company-wide.
6	MR. GIRARD: Thank you.
7	When you talk about being
8	100 yards from the nearest residence, are
9	there any trees or anything in between?
10	MR. McGILLIVRAY: The Nelson Steel
11	Factory is between us and the nearest
12	residence. We have Nelson Steel to the west
13	and then you go into a residential area. To
14	the east, you have the railroad line and then
15	some additional industrial facilities.
16	And across the street to the north
17	or excuse me, across the street to the
18	south, we have a bakery. So generally
19	speaking, that specific area is also
20	industrial.
21	MR. GIRARD: Thank you.
22	MR. CHINN: How many employees does
23	the plant have?
24	MR. McGILLIVRAY: At that particular

1 location, approximately 60. MR. CHINN: How many? 2 3 MR. McGILLIVRAY: Sixty. 4 MR. CHINN: Is that on one shift? 5 MR. McGILLIVRAY: We operate three б shifts. We have -- I'm going to do this 7 backwards. On third shift, we have two individuals. We have five individuals on 8 9 second shift, and the remaining balance is on 10 the first shift. MR. GIRARD: So in terms of your 11 12 operation, you say you're operating the hammers from 6:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m., so your 13 third shift is -- no hammers? 14 MR. McGILLIVRAY: That's correct. We 15 have been a first-shift operation with the 16 hammers only for the last approximately three 17 and a half years. The reason that we want to 18 have the flexibility of going to a second 19 shift is, again, if business continues to 20 21 build, as we hope it does, we want the option 22 of being able to run a second shift if 23 necessary. Certainly from the workers' point 24

1 of view everyone being on day shift primarily, it is the ideal situation for 2 3 them. The second shift personnel only run 4 the machine side and heat treat operations, 5 and then third shift is a -- one maintenance б person and one heat treat operator. So 7 those, generally speaking, are noiseless 8 operations. 9 So the majority of our noise comes 10 between the hours of 6:00 a.m. and approximately 3:30 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. 11 MR. GIRARD: Thank you. 12 MR. RAO: When Scot Forge got the 13 site-specific relief back in 1984, there was 14 15 a lot of testimony that was presented about your forging operations and what it would 16 take for your forging operation to come into 17 compliance with the Board regulations which 18 were found to be unreasonable at that time. 19 20 Have you had a chance to look at 21 that Board opinion where all of these, you 22 know, factors were discussed to see if some of them still apply or --23 MR. McGILLIVRAY: I have not seen that 24

1 documentation, sir.

2	MR. RAO: Okay. Would it be possible
3	for you to take a look at that and, if not
4	today, provide some comments as to if the
5	things that were discussed or the factors
6	that were discussed at that time whether, you
7	know, with changes in technology, everything
8	has changed in the last 20 years so that you
9	can do some things or if it's still the same
10	where, you know, to come into compliance
11	would not be a reasonable thing to do?
12	MR. McGILLIVRAY: The equipment that
13	we operate, generally speaking, is not even
14	produced anymore commercially. Most of these
15	hammers are probably in the neighborhood of
16	30 to 50 years old, so we are certainly
17	dealing with dated equipment.
18	But I would be happy to take that
19	back and work with my client's staff to see
20	if there's anything that any other
21	concerns that we could address in that.
22	MR. RAO: That would be helpful.
23	HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: You can pick
24	up a copy of that upstairs in our offices

1 before you leave today. We'll get you a copy of that --2 3 MR. McGILLIVRAY: Okay. 4 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: -- because 5 I'm not sure it's linked on the Web. It's an б old enough opinion that it may not be linked 7 on the Web. 8 MR. McGILLIVRAY: Is there a --9 MR. RAO: The docket number is R83-34. 10 MR. McGILLIVRAY: And that was from 19 --11 MR. RAO: December 20, 1984. It's the 12 Board's final opinion and order. 13 14 MR. McGILLIVRAY: When would you like 15 your response back by? HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: At the close 16 17 of the hearing, I'm going to be talking about 18 the potential of closing the comment period or even holding another hearing, and we'll 19 set a time frame and I'll send a hearing 20 21 officer order out letting you know what that 22 is, but no sooner than 60 days. 23 MR. STERNSTEIN: Mr. McGillivray, a couple of questions: Regarding the homes 24

1 that are in the general vicinity of the Scot Forge plant, how old did you say that 2 3 most of those homes are? And I realize 4 you're not an expert on the type the 5 construction but -б MR. McGILLIVRAY: I would guess most 7 of those homes are 40 years, plus in age. MR. STERNSTEIN: Okay. 8 9 MR. McGILLIVRAY: Brick construction. MR. STERNSTEIN: Okay. Have any of 10 the homes in the general vicinity been built 11 within, say, the last five years? 12 13 MR. McGILLIVRAY: Not to my knowledge. 14 MR. STERNSTEIN: Are there any vacant 15 or large vacant parcels of property within, say, 200 or 300 yards of the plant? 16 17 MR. McGILLIVRAY: Not to my knowledge. MR. STERNSTEIN: And there's been no 18 discussion in the community of new home 19 constructions in that area? 20 MR. McGILLIVRAY: That area is 21 22 completely full of either businesses or existing homes. 23 24 MR. STERNSTEIN: Okay. Thank you.

1	MR. RAO: Just as a follow-up, is that
2	area generally zoned industrial, commercial
3	or are there any residential areas in the
4	same vicinity like within 500 yards?
5	MR. McGILLIVRAY: To the east of us,
6	there are residential homes. The Scot Forge
7	plant fronts on Belmont Avenue. Belmont
8	Avenue has businesses all along it in that
9	particular area.
10	And then once you get behind the
11	first row of businesses, typically the on
12	the side streets, the residential areas start
13	picking up. It's mostly single-family homes.
14	HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Anything
15	further?
16	MR. CHINN: Does the plant utilize any
17	acoustical material to attenuate sound
18	emissions from the inside of the plant to the
19	outside of the plant or within the internals
20	of the plant?
21	MR. McGILLIVRAY: Specific acoustic
22	baffling, no, sir. I mean, there is
23	insulation certainly on some of the walls and
24	in some of the ceiling areas and things like

1 that, but specific acoustic baffling 2 materials, no. 3 MR. CHINN: The seventh hammer, that's 4 a new one? 5 MR. McGILLIVRAY: We have several of б our old hammers sitting out in mothballs and, 7 again, we are considering resurrecting it because most of the parts that we are being 8 9 asked to build at that facility now are 10 larger in nature. Those hammers that we have are 11 relatively smaller, so this would actually 12 match our second largest -- if we put this 13 14 seventh hammer in, it would match our second 15 largest hammer that we have in operation 16 currently. 17 MR. CHINN: So the seventh hammer is 18 larger than the other six? MR. McGILLIVRAY: The seventh hammer 19 would be larger than five and equal in size 20 21 to one and smaller than our largest hammer. 22 It would be a 4000-pound drop hammer. MR. CHINN: I see. Are there 23 specially designed foundations for the new 24

1 hammer?

2	MR. McGILLIVRAY: There would be, yes,
3	sir. It's a combination of concrete and
4	timbers that are laid in a kind of a
5	patchwork that help absorb the shocks. These
б	products that we produce on these hammers
7	are it's the energy of the dropping that
8	forces the hot steel into the tools that we
9	use to form the parts.
10	And so with that shock, if you
11	were on a rigid foundation, you would crack
12	that very quickly. So it's actually a very
13	specialized wood that we import to actually
14	lay in the foundation of these hammers.
15	MR. CHINN: The foundation is
16	independent and not in physical contact with
17	the floor?
18	MR. McGILLIVRAY: It is a separate
19	entity to itself.
20	MR. CHINN: Okay.
21	MR. McGILLIVRAY: Certainly, there's
22	flooring around it, but there's a direct
23	column of support underneath these hammers.
24	And the soil in that area, generally

1 speaking, is clay in nature. MR. CHINN: Clay? 2 3 MR. McGILLIVRAY: Clay, so it deadens 4 things very, very quickly. 5 MR. CHINN: Did you mention that OSHA б has inspected the plant? 7 MR. McGILLIVRAY: We use the Illinois on-site consultation program, the 8 9 consultation arm of OSHA. Since I have been 10 in this position six years, OSHA has not been in our facility. 11 MR. GIRARD: Who were the major 12 customers for your products? 13 MR. McGILLIVRAY: At the Franklin Park 14 15 plant, it is a variety of gear manufacturers. You have original equipment manufacturers, 16 OEM companies that utilize a lot of the 17 parts. It's generally a heavy industry, 18 power generation-type transportation 19 20 industry. 21 We make a tremendous amount of 22 parts for Caterpillar, railroad companies, you know, that's the gamut. We have 23 somewhere in the neighborhood of 1800 active 24

1 customers, and we do a tremendous amount of government work in our larger facilities, so 2 3 we have a wide spectrum of work. 4 And that's certainly helped us in 5 the last few years. We've been able to б weather the storm rather well of, you know, 7 downsizing and things like that because our customer base is so diverse. 8 9 MR. CHINN: Are any of your neighbors 10 aware of your proposal to add a seventh hammer? 11 MR. McGILLIVRAY: We have not made it 12 public knowledge. Currently, it would be our 13 intention to -- you know, at this point, we 14 15 only have four operating crews. It's more of -- not that we're going to operate seven 16 continuously at any given one time, we just 17 want to make sure that the Board understands 18 19 that we will have seven hammers erected at 20 any one time. 21 And if we need to, we could 22 operate seven, but typically, it takes three to four people to operate a hammer if you 23 24 have a hammer driver and you have people that

1	are positioning the part on the dye itself.
2	And so right now we have 28 or
3	excuse me, we have four crews of four and
4	three kind of floating people, so you've got
5	a total of 15 personnel that could operate.
6	Again, if business continues to
7	increase, we'll continue to have to add
8	staff, and we may start operating five
9	hammers or six hammers or split some of those
10	crews and work with the floating staff as
11	well.
12	At this point, we've made no
13	proposal to the Board or to the Village
14	Board to erect the hammer. What we're trying
15	to do is build in some additional spaces into
16	the permit that we wouldn't have to come in
17	and alter the permit, you know, six months
18	from now.
19	MR. CHINN: Insulation of the seventh
20	hammer requires a building permit from the
21	village?
22	MR. McGILLIVRAY: Not to my knowledge,
23	but that is something I would certainly look
24	into. Typically, the plant manager would

1 handle that aspect of the operation. MR. RAO: I was about to ask the same 2 3 question. Are there any other permits that you need to obtain from the IEPA or --4 5 MR. McGILLIVRAY: Not to our б knowledge. And, again, when Scot Forge took 7 over the facility, there were eight operational hammers at that point, so we're 8 9 not even back to where the facility was 10 operating in 1992. MR. RAO: Are you aware of whether, 11 you know, Hellstrom Corporation, if they had 12 any site-specific relief from the Board? 13 MR. McGILLIVRAY: I looked through 14 Section 901, and I did not see Hellstrom 15 having any site-specific relief from the 16 17 Board. 18 MR. STERNSTEIN: Mr. McGillivray, was it Section 901.118, is that where the 19 site-specific relief is? 20 21 MR. McGILLIVRAY: Yes, sir. 22 MR. STERNSTEIN: Okay. And I'm sorry, 23 I don't have that in front of me, but you're requesting that your hours of operation be 24

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

```
1
            allowed from 6:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m. Did the
            former site-specific rule set the hours of
 2
            operation?
 3
 4
                   MR. McGILLIVRAY: Yes, sir.
 5
                   MR. STERNSTEIN: What were those hours
 б
            of operation?
 7
                   MR. McGILLIVRAY: I believe it was
            6:00 to 11:00 as well.
 8
 9
                   MR. STERNSTEIN: 6:00 to 11:00 as
10
            well.
                   HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Here
11
            (indicating.)
12
13
                   MR. STERNSTEIN: Thanks, Marie.
                   HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Uh-huh.
14
                   MR. STERNSTEIN: Actually -- well,
15
            wait. It says here -- I'm reading 901.118B:
16
17
            Operate its forging hammers only between the
            hours of 6:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m., Monday
18
            through Saturday.
19
                       So in a sense, you would be asking
20
21
            for additional time in the new regulation?
22
                       Can I show this to
23
            Mr. McGillivray?
24
                   HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Uh-huh.
```

1	(Mr. McGillivray perusing
2	the document.)
3	MR. McGILLIVRAY: We're asking for
4	additional time. My apologies to the Board.
5	MR. STERNSTEIN: Another couple of
6	questions: You're not asking for any
7	relief or site-specific relief for your
8	facility in Spring Grove?
9	MR. McGILLIVRAY: No, sir.
10	MR. STERNSTEIN: Okay. Are there
11	steamdrop hammers or hydraulic drop presses
12	at your facility in Spring Grove?
13	MR. McGILLIVRAY: They are hydraulic
14	presses, they are not drop presses. They're
15	essentially silent in nature.
16	MR. STERNSTEIN: Okay. So you
17	wouldn't the relief requested here
18	wouldn't be necessary for the Spring Grove
19	facility?
20	MR. McGILLIVRAY: No. This is
21	Franklin park only.
22	MR. STERNSTEIN: Okay.
23	HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Anything
24	else?

1	(No response.)
2	Mr. McGillivray, I would like to note
3	that Section 901.118 is not currently open in
4	this rulemaking. And what that means is
5	under the Illinois Register Illinois
6	Administrative Code, if we don't propose a
7	first notice of rule, we can't do anything in
8	second notice with it.
9	So at this point, quite honestly,
10	the only way that we could possibly give you
11	what you're asking for is to re-first notice
12	the rule or first notice that section
13	under the Administrative Procedure Act.
14	My question is if the Board were
15	to decide that your argument has some merit
16	and that we would like to see that happen, if
17	we opened another docket and proposed for
18	first notice your site-specific rule, would
19	you be willing to testify and provide
20	additional information to the Board as
21	requested to support that rule?
22	MR. McGILLIVRAY: Absolutely.
23	HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: And, again,
24	I would note that at this point, the only

1	option we would have would be to start over
2	with the whole rule, start over with your
3	section or re-first notice. And quite
4	frankly, re-first notice may not be out of
5	the question at this point.
6	MR. McGILLIVRAY: Okay.
7	HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Anybody
8	else?
9	(No response.)
10	Thank you very much. You've been very
11	helpful and it's been very informative.
12	MR. McGILLIVRAY: I appreciate your
13	time.
14	HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Mr. Chinn,
15	Mr. Sterstein, do you have anything to add
16	today?
17	MR. CHINN: No, thank you.
18	HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Before I
19	close, I do want to note that Mr. John
20	Henriksen of the Illinois Association of
21	Aggregate Producers contacted me on Friday.
22	He indicated that they had not received the
23	notice of this hearing.
24	A check of the Board's records

1	indicate that, in fact, no notice was sent to
2	the Illinois Association of Aggregate
3	Producers. I want to apologize to
4	Mr. Henriksen and the association for the
5	oversight. It has been corrected.
б	Mr. Henriksen did indicate a
7	willingness to perhaps make comments as part
8	of the public comment process if he was given
9	sufficient time; however, the Board is
10	currently examining his request and the
11	record of this proceeding and we may, in
12	fact, hold another hearing in Springfield on
13	this matter.
14	We will make that decision
15	sometime between now and when the transcript
16	of this hearing is available, and I will
17	issue a hearing officer order at that time
18	either setting the matter for an additional
19	hearing or setting the close of the public
20	comment period.
21	And I'll be in contact with you,
22	Mr. Sternstein and Mr. Chinn; you've been
23	very active. And I'll also be in contact
24	with you, Mr. McGillivray, and let you know

1 what we're doing. 2 Do you have anything else? 3 MR. GIRARD: Can we go off the record? 4 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Sure. Let's 5 go off the record. б THE REPORTER: Okay. 7 (Whereupon, a discussion 8 was had off the record.) 9 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: We'll go back on the record. 10 Did you have anything further? 11 12 MR. GIRARD: No. 13 Thank you for coming. It's been very informative, and we look forward to 14 carrying out the process. 15 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Seeing 16 17 nothing further, we're adjourned. Thank you very much. 18 19 (Whereupon, the hearing of the 20 above-entitled cause was 21 adjourned.) 22 23 24

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS)) SS. 2 3 COUNTY OF DUPAGE) 4 5 I, MARIA E. SHOCKEY, CSR, do б 7 hereby state that I am a court reporter doing 8 business in the City of Chicago, County of DuPage, 9 and State of Illinois; that I reported by means of 10 machine shorthand the proceedings held in the foregoing cause, and that the foregoing is a true 11 and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so 12 13 taken as aforesaid. 14 15 16 Maria E. Shockey, CSR 17 Notary Public, DuPage County, Illinois 18 19 SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me this ____ day of _____, A.D., 2004. 20 21 22 Notary Public 23 24